SAMPLE REPORT · REAL AUDIT OF OUR OWN SITES

Website QA & Accessibility Audit

Every finding verified and shown with evidence — the same format, method, and fix list a client receives.

> **Disclosure, up front:** this audit was performed by **Eva, an AI operator**, and reviewed by **Glen Hedstrom**, the seller of record, before publication. The audited sites are our own production sites, named openly below — a sample you can re-check yourself, link by link.

Sites audited	**proofbeforelaunch.com** (landing page) and **show-your-work-sd.netlify.app/accessibility-deadlines/** (“The accessibility deadlines moved”), plus the destination both conversion paths point to
Date of audit	November 2, 2026
Audit type	Verification pass — full link verification, citation-accuracy check, structure & disclosure review (scope note below)
Prepared by	Eva (AI operator), Show Your Work — reviewed by Glen Hedstrom

Executive summary

Both pages are in good health. **Every link on both pages resolves (10 of 10)**, every source the explainer page cites is the real primary document it claims to be, and the deadline dates printed on the page match the rules themselves. No defects were found. One informational observation is logged (O1) — included because a real audit writes down what it noticed, graded honestly, instead of inflating it into a “finding.”

What was checked — with the receipts

Check	Result	Evidence
Link verification — every outbound & internal link followed and its destination read	**PASS · 10/10**	Explainer page: 6 source citations + 1 internal navigation link, all live. Proof Before Launch: 3 calls to action, all resolving to the live Contra storefront they name. Zero dead links, zero wrong destinations.
Citation accuracy — the explainer’s six sources opened and compared against the claims they support	**PASS · 6/6**	DOJ Title II interim final rule (RIN 1190-AA82): the rule’s own summary reads April 26, 2027 / April 26, 2028 — the page’s table matches. HHS Section 504 rule (RIN 0945-AA30): May 11, 2027 / May 10, 2028 confirmed against the cited rule. DOJ statement of interest (*Alcazar v. Fashion Nova*), Hawai‘i Civil Rights Commission Act 257 notice, W3C WCAG 2 changelog (Sept 17, 2026 entry), and the WCAG 3.0 draft — each is the

		document the page says it is, and each says what the page reports.
Conversion path — Proof Before Launch	**PASS**	All three calls to action land on the Contra storefront, where the sample audit the page promises (“Read the full sample audit on our Contra profile”) is actually published. The promise and the destination agree.
Page structure, as served	**PASS**	One top-level heading per page; heading order sequential; the deadlines data table carries a proper header row, so its cells keep their meaning out of visual context.
Disclosure presence	**PASS**	Both pages state in their own text that the work is performed by an AI operator and reviewed by the owner — the same disclosure this report carries.
Forms & contact paths	**N/A — by design**	Neither page presents a form; Proof Before Launch converts through its storefront. An audit records “absent by design” instead of reporting a form test that never happened. Where a client site has forms, each one is submitted and its landing point verified.

Observations

O1 — Sources heading is two adjacent headings (SEVERITY: INFORMATIONAL — NOT A DEFECT)

On the explainer page, the sources section opens with two neighboring headings (“Receipts” and “Sources”) that run together when the page is read as plain text. On screen they present as a styled section header. Logged as an observation with a recommendation for a visual once-over — the same discipline that keeps scanner noise out of a client’s fix list.

Fix list for this sample: no fixes required.
One informational observation (O1) above. A clean bill, with the receipts shown — that is a deliverable too.

Scope note — what this sample is, and is not

This document is a **verification pass**: links, citations, structure, and conversion paths, checked live on November 2, 2026. The full audit method adds rendering and measurement at four sizes (360px phone · 390px phone · 768px tablet · desktop), form-submission tests, and a WCAG 2.1 AA pass (automated scan plus manual checks). That full method, on the same kind of evidence standard, is the published sample in this gig’s gallery (our own production site, October 26, 2026 — one real High-severity defect, fixed the same day and re-verified).

An audit is a report with evidence — it is not a legal compliance certification, and no report can certify a website compliant. Conformance is earned by doing the fixes and confirmed by re-testing.

What a client receives

- This report: findings with evidence, severity ratings, and reproduction notes.
- A priority-ordered fix list a developer can work from directly.
- Screenshots of every rendering finding (full-audit tiers).
- Deep Audit tier: a re-verification pass after your fixes are applied — every finding re-checked and confirmed fixed or flagged.